	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/790,404	HOLLAND, RICHAR	RD ANDREW
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suzanne Lo	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app. All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in i) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>Preliminary Amenda</u>	nent submitted 12/15/06.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-8 and 68-74</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	ve been received. ve been received in Applicatio	on No	tion from the
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the rec	quirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives			IOTICE OF
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date 	rson's Patent Drawing Reviev _	•	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF	he drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).	e back) of
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depattached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	ERIAL must be submitted. I	Note the
· .		•	•
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No. 7. ⊠ Examiner's	formal Patent Application ummary (PTO-413), //Mail Date 12/12/06 Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo KAMINI SHAF	

Application/Control Number: 10/790,404 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Livinia Jones on 12/21/06, 10:12 AM.

The application has been amended as follows:

Cancel claims 9-65 and 75.

- 2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 9-65 directed Groups II-VII non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claim 9-65 been cancelled.
- 3. The Information Disclosure Statement submitted by Applicant on 05/04/06 has been amended to include the publication date, 1981, for the non-patent literature entry, page 2, of Lockwood et al., "A New Radiation Solution Method for Incorporation in General Combustion Prediction Procedures".
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 68-74 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicants are disclosing a method of algorithmically simulating the transportation of particles through a medium, comprising establishing a set of initial particle and environmental conditions, creating a computational grid system of voxels, initiating simulated transportation of particles, applying transport multipliers, compiling particle interaction tallies and computationally producing an output indicative of the simulated particle transport. This has been disclosed in the prior art of record.

The prior art of record does not disclose the method wherein the method steps include establishing a plurality of ray sets of particle distributions with a computational algorithm wherein a ray set are defined as a number of representative rays from 1 to n, where n is a finite integer; these rays represent the average relative fraction and voxel path length of all rays emanating from a surface or

Application/Control Number: 10/790,404

Art Unit: 2128

volume, and traversing a grid; each ray within a ray set has a unique fraction and unique voxel path length; the ray traverses the same pathway through the voxel grid as other members of its set (Specification, [0023]), using said ray sets and appropriate integration kernel to determine invariant imbedding transport multipliers or direct collision moment transport multipliers, compiling the particle interaction tallies from within computer memory locations and applying the interaction model to determine scattering, state and accumulated interactions over a time epoch or generation, repeating method steps until interaction reaction rates and/or the generational supercritical, critical or subcritical Eigenvalue substantially converge.

The closest prior art uncovered during examination teaches certain limitations of the claimed invention as follows:

U.S. Patent No. 6,714,620, Caflisch: Discloses a method of algorithmically simulating the transportation of particles through a medium, comprising the steps: establishing a set of initial particle and environmental conditions (column 7, lines 15-54 and column 27, lines 14-24); creating a computational grid system of voxels from a physical object or system (column 3, lines 36-40); initiating the simulated transportation of particles by applying a plurality of discrete particle distributions within voxel interaction tallies and/or upon voxel tally surfaces (column 18, lines 17-34); applying the transport multipliers for transporting discrete particle tallies from the first plurality of voxels to a second plurality of voxels (column 27, lines 14-24); continuing the particle tallies in voxels as the sets of particle distributions sequentially transport through the grid system of voxels until a predetermined limit is attained (column 18, lines 24-34); and computationally producing an output indicative of the simulated particle transport (column 27, lines 43-47). However, Caflisch does not disclose establishing a plurality of ray sets of particle distributions with a computational algorithm; using said ray sets and appropriate integration kernel to determine invariant imbedding transport multipliers or direct collision moment transport multipliers; compiling the particle interaction tallies from within computer memory locations and

Application/Control Number: 10/790,404

Art Unit: 2128

applying the interaction model to determine scattering, state and accumulated interactions over a time epoch or generation; repeating method steps until interaction reaction rates and/or the generational supercritical, critical or subcritical Eigenvalue substantially converge.

U.S. Patent No. 6,335,792 B1, Tsuchiya: Teaches particle transport by calculating weight functions and coefficients (column 4, lines 18-24) as well as particle path distribution (column 5, lines 36-41) but with the Monte Carlo method (column 11, lines 18-24) instead of invariant imbedding or direct computationally. Tsuchiya also does not teach ray sets and time epoch/generation accumulation.

U.S. Patent No. 6,148,272, Bergstrom et al.: Teaches particle transport by calculating dosage volumes (column 5, lines 56-67) and generating voxels (column 5, lines 38-41) but with the Monte Carlo method (column 14, line 60 - column 15, line 21) instead of direct computationally or with invariant imbedding and ray sets.

"Invariant Imbedding and the Calculation of Eigenvalues for Sturm-Liouville Systems",

Scott et al.: Teaches invariant imbedding with eigenvalue convergence for boundary problems (page 22,

"Conclusions", 1st paragraph) but fails to teach any application towards particle transport, as well as ray sets and time epoch accumulation.

Furthermore, the prior art of record does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an **ipsissimis verbis** test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. **In re Bond**, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

In particular, the prior art of record does not disclose the specific sequence of method steps inclusive of a direct computational method of algorithmically simulation the transportation of particles through a medium comprising the steps of a) establishing a set of initial particle and environmental

conditions; b) creating a computational grid system of voxels from a physical object or system; c) establishing a plurality of ray sets of particle distribution with a computational algorithm; d) using said ray sets and appropriate integration kernel to determine invariant imbedding transport multipliers or direct collision moment transport multipliers; e) initiating the simulated transportation of particles by applying a plurality of discrete particle distributions within voxel interaction tallies and/or upon voxel tally surfaces; f) applying the transport multipliers for transporting discrete particle tallies from the first plurality of voxels to a second plurality of voxels; g) continuing the particle tallies in voxels as the ray sets of particle distributions sequentially transport through the grid system of voxels until a predetermined limit is attained; h) compiling the particle interaction tallies from within computer memory locations and applying the interaction model to determine scattering, state and accumulated interactions over a time epoch or generation; i) repeating steps (f-h) until interaction reaction rates and/or the generational supercritical, critical or subcritical Eigenvalue substantially converge, and j) computationally producing an output indicative of the simulated particle transport.

Likewise, claims 2, 68, and 69 also include the specific sequence method steps not disclosed by the prior art for the same reasons as noted above and therefore render claims 2, 68, and 69 non-obvious over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

6. The 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112, 2nd paragraph rejections of the previous office action mailed 10/04/06 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - 1. U.S. Patent No. 6,175,761 B1 issued to Frandsen et al. on 01/16/01.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,404

Art Unit: 2128

2. U.S. Patent No. 6,301,329 B1 issued to Surridge on 10/09/01.

3. U.S. Patent No. 6,029,079 issued to Cox et al. on 02/22/00.

4. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0204126 A1 published by Rivard on 10/30/03.

5. "Random Walk, Scattering, and Invariant Imbedding I. One-Dimensional Discrete Case"

published by Bellman et al. on 10/15/57.

6. "Dissipation Functions and Invariant Imbedding" published by Bellman et al. on 08/15/60.

7. "Invariant Imbedding and the Reduction of Two-Point Boundary Value Problems to Initial

Value Problems" published by Bellman et al. on 10/25/60.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Suzanne Lo whose telephone number is (571)272-5876. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kamini Shah can be reached on (571)272-2297. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzanne Lo Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2128

SL 12/21/06